UNIFORM GUIDANCE SINGLE AUDIT REPORT DECEMBER 31, 2017

# UNIFORM GUIDANCE SINGLE AUDIT REPORT December 31, 2017

# Table of Contents

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial	<u>Page</u>
Statements Performed in Accordance with "Government Auditing Standards"	1 - 2
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; And Report on Schedule of Expenditures	
Of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	3 - 4
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	5
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	7 - 8



# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH "GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS"

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Olathe, Kansas

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Kansas Municipal Audit and Accounting, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Olathe, Kansas, (the City) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 1, 2018.

## Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal controls* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charge with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

www.mizehouser.com ■ mhco@mizehouser.com 534 S Kansas Ave, Suite 700 ■ Topeka, KS 66603-3465 ■ 785.233.0536 p ■ 785.233.1078 f 534 S Kansas Ave, Suite 400 ■ Topeka, KS 66603-3454 ■ 785.234.5573 p ■ 785.234.1037 f 7101 College Blvd, Suite 900 ■ Overland Park, KS 66210-1984 ■ 913.451.1882 p ■ 913.451.2211 f 211 E Eighth Suite A■ Lawrence, KS 66044-2771 ■ 785.842.8844 p ■ 785.842.9049 f

# **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Mige Houser : Company PA

**Certified Public Accountants** 

Lawrence, Kansas June 1, 2018



# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Olathe, Kansas

# Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the compliance of the City of Olathe, Kansas, (the City) with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2017. The City's major federal financial programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

## Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination on the City's compliance.

## Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2017.

www.mizehouser.com ■ mhco@mizehouser.com

534 S Kansas Ave, Suite 700 ■ Topeka, KS 66603-3465 ■ 785.233.0536 p ■ 785.233.1078 f

534 S Kansas Ave, Suite 400 ■ Topeka, KS 66603-3454 ■ 785.234.5573 p ■ 785.234.1037 f

7101 College Blvd, Suite 900 ■ Overland Park, KS 66210-1984 ■ 913.451.1882 p ■ 913.451.2211 f

211 E Eighth Suite A■ Lawrence, KS 66044-2771 ■ 785.842.8844 p ■ 785.842.9049 f

# Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program in order to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charge with governance.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

## Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required By The Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the City of Olathe, Kansas, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017, and have issued our report thereon dated June 1, 2018, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has ben subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Mige Houser : Company PA

Certified Public Accountants

Lawrence, Kansas June 1, 2018

#### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Identifying Number	Identifying	
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				
Public and Indian Housing	14.850		\$ 187,841	
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871		3,391,841	
Public Housing Capital Fund	14.872		42,558	
Passed Through Johnson County, Kansas:			,	
Community Development Block Grant	14.218	2014 / 2015 / 2016 / 2017	275,513	
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	2015 / 2016 / 2017	168,268	
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			4,066,021	
U.S. Department of Transportation				
Passed Through the Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT):				
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	N-061001 / N-062701 / N-061901	4,357,604	
Highway Safety Cluster:			.,,	
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	SP-1300-16	18,583	
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	SP-0931-16	4,867	
National Priority Safety Programs	20.616	SP-4704-16	7,755	
Passed Through Kansas City Area Transportation Authority (KCATA):	20.010		1,100	
5310 Grant	20.513	MO-16-X046	249,219	
5310 Grant Group Trip	20.513	MO-16-X046	5,833	
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			4,643,861	
U.S. Department of Justice				
Passed Through Johnson County, Kansas:				
Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant	16.738		29,085	
			<u>.</u>	
Total U.S. Department of Justice			29,085	
U.S. Department of Homeland Security				
Assistance to Firefighters Grant	97.044		2,000,000	
Passed Through Mid-America Regional Council (MARC):				
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	PO-003272	43,549	
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			2,043,549	
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Passed Through Kansas Department of Aging & Disability Services:				
Drug-Free Communities Support Program Grants	93.276		48,433	
Total Department of Health and Human Services			48,433	
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u>\$ 10,830,949</u>	

# NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

## 1. Organization

The City is the recipient of several federal awards. All federal awards received directly from federal agencies as well as those awards that are passed through other government agencies, are included on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

## 2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information presented in this schedule is in accordance with the requirements of *Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements. The City elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate.

# 3. Local Government Contributions

Local cost sharing is required by certain federal grants. The amount of cost sharing varies with each program. Only the federal share of expenditures is presented in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

# 4. Additional Audits

Grantor agencies reserve the right to conduct additional audits of the City's grant programs for economy and efficiency and program results that may result in disallowed costs to the City. However, management does not believe such audits would result in any disallowed costs that would be material to the City's financial position at December 31, 2017.

# 5. Outstanding Loans

The City had the following loan balance outstanding at December 31, 2017. New loans made during the year are included in the federal expenditures presented in the schedule.

	CFDA		Amount
Program Title	Number	Outstanding	
Community Development Block Grant	14.218	\$	1,662,748
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	14.239		2,011,662
		\$	3,674,410

# Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

# Section I - Summary of Independent Auditor's Results

# Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued:	Unmodified		
Internal control over financial reporting:			
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes <u>X</u> No		
Significant deficiency (ies) identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes <u>X</u> None reported		
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	Yes <u>X</u> No		
Federal Awards			
Internal control over major programs:			
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes <u>X</u> No		
Significant deficiency (ies) identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes <u>X</u> None reported		
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major pro	grams: Unmodified		
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance	Yes <u>X</u> No		
Identification of major programs:			
<u>CFDA Number(s)</u>	Name of Federal Program or Cluster		
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction		
97.044	Assitance to Firefighters Grant		
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$750,000		
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	X Yes No		

# Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

None Noted

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Current Year Findings

None Noted

Prior Year Findings

None Noted